WO	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA MAY 1 8 2009				
	UNITE	D STATES OF AMERICA v.			CLERK US DISTRICT COURT ON PENDINGF PRIZONA DEPUTY
		Stephen Raboy	Case Number:	09-6179	9M-001
In acc are es	stablished		e.)		
M	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.				
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.  PART I FINDINGS OF FACT				
_	(4)	There is probable cause to believe		d	
	(1)	☐ an offense for which a max			ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crin	nes of terroris	sm) for which a maximum term of
		an offense involving a mind	or victim prescribed in		.1
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the conditions will reasonably assure the	ne presumption established by a ne appearance of the defendant a	finding 1 that as required a	t no condition or combination of nd the safety of the community.
			Alternative Findings		
	<b>(1)</b>	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ass the appearance of the defendant as required.			
12	(2)	No condition or combination of con			
	(3)	(3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intial a prospective witness or juror).		ce) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
	(4)				
			STATEMENT OF REASONS FO	OR DETENT	ION
,			(Check one or both, as applicable.)		
₽Z	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that:  (In the credible testimony and as to dange that as to dange that as to dange the credible testimony and as to dange the credible	information submitted at the hear has a last last last last last last last	ring establish	by clear and convincing evidence
		Myserils			

Case 2:09-mj-06179-DKD Document 10 Filed 05/18/09 Pa

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

## Case 2:09-mj-06179-DKD Document 10 Filed 05/18/09 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:					
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.					
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.					
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:						
	In add	ition:					
time c	The C	ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the					
time of the hearing in this matter.  PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION							
appea	ections f al. The d	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending refendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.					
PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE							
Court	er a copy Pursu	ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District ant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of opy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.					
Servi inves	ces suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ciently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and e potential third party custodian.					
Date	e:	5/18/2009 LAWRENCE O. ANDERSON					
		United States Magistrate Judge					